

Financial constraints



FORMAT FOR REPORTING ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE UNECE STRATEGY FOR EDUCATION FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Within the framework of the United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development (2005–2014)

The following report is submitted on behalf of the Government of the Republic of Moldova in accordance with the decision of the UNECE Steering Committee on Education for Sustainable Development.
Name of officer (national focal point) responsible for submitting the report: Veronica Lopotenco Signature: Date: 3/01/2011 Full name of the institution: Ministry of Environment Postal address: 9, Cosmonautilor str., Chisinau , MD2005 Telephone: + 373 22 204521/204520 Fax: + 373 22 204505/226858 E-mail: lopotenco@mediu.gov.md Website: www.mediu.gov.md Contact officer for national report (if different from above):
A. Provide brief information (not more than half a page) on the process by which this report has been prepared, including information on which types of public authorities were consulted or contributed to its preparation; on how the stakeholders were consulted and how the outcome of this consultation wa taken into account; and on the material used as a basis for the report.
Governmental institutions (please specify) Ministry of Education and Ministry of Environment,
Stakeholders:
☐ NGOs (please specify)
Academia (please specify)
Business (please specify)
Other (please specify)the report was placed on www.mediu.gov.md during 3 weeks, no proposal or comments were received form NGO, academia, etc
B. Report any particular circumstances that help clarify the context of the report – for example, whether the decision-making structure is federal and/or decentralized, and whether financial constraints are a significant obstacle to implementation. (This information should not exceed half a page.)
Political instability

ISSUE ¹	1. ENSURE THAT POLICY, REGULATORY AND OPERATIONAL FRAMEWORKS SUPPORT THE PROMOTION OF ESD
If necessary, provide n	relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective (up to 1,500 characters with spaces).
	Indicator 1.1 Prerequisite measures are taken to support the promotion of ESD
Sub-indicator 1.1.1	Is the UNECE Strategy for ESD available in your national ² language(s)?
Yes X No	Romanian and Russian.
Sub-indicator 1.1.2	Have you appointed a national focal point to deal with the UNECE Strategy for ESD?
Yes X No 🗌	From 2007 the national focal point is appointed from the Ministry of Environment.
Sub-indicator 1.1.3	Do you have a coordinating body for implementation of ESD?
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Please specify its mandate and coordinating mechanism. Please also specify whether its mandate covers implementation of the UNECE Strategy for ESD. The Policy Analysis, Monitoring and Evaluation Department within the Ministry of Environment is the coordination body for the ESD implementation. It is nor mandated with the implementation of UNECE Strategy on ESD.
Sub-indicator 1.1.4	Do you have a national implementation plan for ESD?
Yes 🗌 No 🖂	Please specify whether this plan includes implementation of the UNECE Strategy for ESD. No. There is no a National Implementation Plan as such. An interministerial Action Plan on ESD was approved jointly by the Board of Ministry of Education and Ministry of Environment in 2008.
Sub-indicator 1.1.5	Are there any synergies at the national level between UNECE ESD process, the UNESCO global process on the United Nations Decade of ESD, ³ and other policy processes relevant to ESD?
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Please specify.
	Indicator 1.2 Policy, regulatory and operational frameworks support the promotion of ESD
Sub-indicator 1.2.1	Is ESD reflected in any national policy ⁴ document(s)?
Yes No No	Please specify and list major document(s).

¹ Issues 1 to 6 are in accordance with the objectives of the UNECE Strategy for ESD (CEP/AC.13/2005/3/Rev.1).

² For countries with a federal government structure, all references to "national" apply to "State", as appropriate. In this context, "data at the national level" means aggregated data received from sub-State entities.

³ The United Nations General Assembly in its resolution 57/254 of 20 December 2002 proclaimed the 10-year period beginning on 1 January 2005 the United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development.

⁴ Policy documents may include national strategies, plans, programmes, guidelines and the like.

Sub-indicator 1.2.2	Is ESD: (a) addressed in relevant national education legislation/regulatory document(s); and (b) included in your national curricula and/or national standards/ordinances/requirements; at all levels of formal education, as understood by your education system in accordance with ISCED? ⁵				
	If yes, please specify for (a) and (b). Fill in the table by ticking (V) as appropriate.				
	ISCED levels 6 (a) $(b)^7$				
	Yes Yes				
(a) Yes No	0				
(b) Yes No X	2				
	3				
	4				
	58				
	Teacher education				
Sub-indicator 1.2.3	Are non-formal and informal ESD addressed in your relevant national policy and/or regulatory document(s) and operational frameworks?				
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Please specify. An article related to "environmental education" was proposed by the Min of Environment to be included into the National Code of Education (not approved yet).				
Sub-indicator 1.2.4	Is public awareness in relation to ESD addressed in relevant national document(s)?				
Yes No X	Please specify.				
Sub-indicator 1.2.5	Does a formal structure for interdepartmental cooperation relevant to ESD exist in your Government?				
Yes No No	Please specify. Actually no. There issue is being processed and the decision soon will be taken.				

⁵ International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED), UNESCO, 1997 (http://www.unesco.org/education/information/nfsunesco/doc/isced_1997.htm).

⁶Education level in accordance with ISCED.

⁷ National curricula and/or national standards/ordinances/requirements.

⁸ For higher education institutions when answering: (1) regarding national legislation: this objective is focusing equally at the first stage of tertiary education (Bachelor), the second stage (MSc) and the third stage (PhD) while covering various aspects such as **service agreements/contracts**, **national strategies of Research and Development**, **university organization and studies acts**, or **general laws of higher educations**; (2) regarding

and/or subnational standards/ordinances/requirements: special attention shall be devoted to indications of linking systems of **quality assurance and accreditation** (with regard to the Bologna process; see http://www.coe.int/t/dg4/highereducation/EHEA2010/BolognaPedestrians_en.asp for information) for higher education institutions with ESD, as well as to **regulations of study programmes and study fields** which reflect the principles of ESD.

⁹ Between State bodies.

Sub-indicator 1.2.6	Does a mechanism for multi-stakeholder cooperation on ESD exist with the involvement of your Government? ¹⁰		
Yes No No	Please specify. Actually no. There issue is being processed and the decision soon will be taken.		
Sub-indicator 1.2.7	Are public budgets and/or economic incentives available specifically to support ESD?		
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Please specify. No.		
	Indicator 1.3 National policies support synergies between processes related to SD and ESD		
Sub-indicator 1.3.1	Is ESD part of SD policy(s) if such exist in your country?		
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Please specify. In the National Strategy For Development (2009-2011) is recognized that "Education has an essential role in combating the poverty and ensuring sustainable economic development". Also "continuing informal education is seen as fundamental pre-condition for the long term sustainable development and economic competitiveness".		
	Issue 2. PROMOTE SD THROUGH FORMAL, NON-FORMAL AND INFORMAL LEARNING		
If necessary, provide relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective (up to 1,500 characters with spaces).			
	Indicator 2.1 SD key themes are addressed in formal education		
Sub-indicator 2.1.1	Are key themes of SD ¹¹ addressed explicitly in the curriculum ¹² /programme of study at various levels of formal education?		
Yes No No	Phase II: Please specify in the table in appendix I (a) and use the scale. Indicate the results in the box below. A B C D E F		
Sub-indicator 2.1.2	Are learning outcomes (skills, attitudes and values) that support ESD addressed explicitly in the curriculum ¹³ /programme of study at various levels of formal education?		
Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Phase II: Please specify in the table in appendix I (b) and use the scale. Indicate the results in the box below. A B C D E F \[\Bar{\text{S}} \] \[\Bar		
Sub-indicator 2.1.3	Are teaching/learning methods that support ESD addressed explicitly in the curriculum ¹⁴ /programme of study at various levels of formal education?		

For explanation see paragraph 46 of the UNECE Strategy for ESD.
 For explanation see paragraph 15 of the UNECE Strategy for ESD.
 At the State level, where relevant.
 See footnote 12.
 See footnote 12.

	Phase II: Please specify in the table in appendix I (c) what methods are of particular significance in your country and use the scale. Indicate the results in the box below.
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	A B C D E F X
	Please also specify for non-formal and informal education, as appropriate. If relevant data are available please also specify (provide examples on how it is done).

Indicator 2.2 Strategies to implement ESD are clearly identified								
Sub-indicator 2.2.1	Is ESD addressed through: ¹⁵ (a) existing subjects ¹⁶ only? (b) a cross-curriculum approach? (c) the provision of specific subject programmes and courses? (d) a stand-alone project? ¹⁷ (e) other approaches?							
	Phase II: If yes, please specify for differen	ent levels of education sy.	stem in ac	ccordanc	e with IS	CED by	ticking (V	/) in the table as appropriate.
		ISCED levels	(a)		(c)	(d)	(e)	
		ISCED ICVOS	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
		0	V		<u> </u>			
(a) Van V Na 🗆		1		V				
(a) Yes X No		2		V				
(b) Yes X No (c) Yes X No (3		V				
(d) Yes No		4		V				
(e) Yes No		5		V				
		6		V	V			
		Teacher education		V	V			
	If you ticked (e), please specify the approaches.							

¹⁵ For higher education institutions: These distinctions would be equal to: (a) **courses and disciplines**; (b) **interdisciplinary courses**; (c) **separate**, **specified SD courses or seminars**; and (d) **stand-alone projects** implemented by the department, faculty or inter-faculty structures.

16 E.g. geography or biology. For higher education, "subject" means "course".

17 A project is interpreted as a discrete activity with its own time allocation rather than a teaching/learning method.

Indicator 2.3 A whole-institution approach ¹⁸ to SD/ESD is promoted						
Sub-indicator 2.3.1	Do educational institutions ¹⁹ adopt a "whole-institution approach" to SD/ESD?					
Yes No X	Phase II: If yes, please specify for all levels of your education system in accordance with ISCED by ticking (V) in the table as appropriate. ISCED levels 1 2 3 4 5					
	Teacher education					
	Please also specify for non-formal and informal education, as appropriate. If relevant data are available, please also specify (provide examples on how it is done).					
Sub-indicator 2.3.2	Are there any incentives (guidelines, award scheme, funding, technical support) that support "a whole institution approach to SD/ESD"?					

¹⁸ A "whole institution approach" means that all aspects of an institution's internal operations and external relationships are reviewed and revised in light of SD/ESD principles. Within such an approach each institution would decide on its own actions, addressing the three overlapping spheres of Campus (management operations); Curriculum; and Community (external relationships).

19 For higher education institutions: whole university, whole college or whole faculty approach (including inter-faculty approaches).

	Phase II: If yes, please specify what schemes a table as appropriate.	re available for all levels of your o	education sys	stem in accordance with ISCED by ticking (V) in the		
		ISCED levels	Yes			
		0				
		1				
		2				
Yes No X		3				
		4				
		5				
		Teacher education	n			
	Please also specify for non-formal and informal education, as appropriate. If relevant data are available please also specify (provide examples on how it is done).					

Do institutions/learners develop their own SD/ESD indicators for their institution/organization?

Sub-indicator 2.3.3

Indicator 2.4 ESD is addressed by quality assessment/enhancement systems						
Sub-indicator 2.4.1	(a) Are there any education quality assessment/enhancement systems? (b) Do they address ESD ²⁰ ? (c) Are there any education quality assessment/enhancement systems that address ESD in national systems?					
(a) Yes X No (b) Yes No X No Yes No X	Phase II: If yes, please specify for various levels of your education system in accordance with ISCED, by ticking (V) in the table as appropriate. ISCED levels (a) (b) (c) Yes Yes Yes 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 Teacher education					
	Please also specify for non-formal and informal education, as appropriate. If relevant data are available, please also specify this data (i.e. provide examples on how the data was compiled).					
Indicator 2.5	ESD methods and instruments for non-formal and informal learning are in place to assess changes in knowledge, attitude and practice					
Sub-indicator 2.5.1	Are SD issues addressed in informal and public awareness-raising activities?					
Yes X No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify. ²¹ In press articles for different purpose groups, TV programmes					
Sub-indicator 2.5.2	Is there any support for work-based learning (e.g. for small companies, farmers, trade unions, associations) which addresses SD issues?					
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify and provide examples.					
Sub-indicator 2.5.3	Are there any instruments (e.g. research, surveys, etc.) in place to assess the outcomes of ESD as a result of non-formal and informal learning?					
Yes No X	Phase II: Please specify, including the results available for (a) attitude, skills and values, and (b) knowledge.					

²⁰ For higher education institutions: either **national centres for quality assessment in higher education** or cooperation with general quality assessment agencies such as the European Foundation for Quality Management (EFQM).

²¹ Please describe how this is done, e.g. in press articles, TV and radio programmes or public lectures promoting environmentally friendly goods and services, sustainable lifestyles.

	Indicator 2.6 ESD implementation is a multi-stakeholder process ²²		
Sub-indicator 2.6.1	Is ESD implementation a multi-stakeholder process?		
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify in the table in appendix II (a) and (b) and use the scale. Indicate the results in the boxes below. (a) According to the UNECE Strategy on ESD (b) According to the UN DESD A B C D E F X		
	Issue 3. EQUIP EDUCATORS WITH THE COMPETENCE TO INCLUDE SD IN THEIR TEACHING		
If necessary, provide relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective (up to 1,500 characters with spaces).			
	Indicator 3.1 ESD is included in the training ²³ of educators		
Sub-indicator 3.1.1	Is ESD a part of educators' initial training? ²⁴		
Yes No No	Phase II: Please specify by filling in the table in appendix III.		
Sub-indicator 3.1.2	Is ESD a part of the educators' in-service training? ²⁵		
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify by filling in the table in appendix III.		
Sub-indicator 3.1.3	Is ESD a part of training of leaders and administrators of educational institutions?		
Yes No	Phase II: Please specify by filling in the table in appendix III.		
	Indicator 3.2 Opportunities exist for educators to cooperate on ESD		
Sub-indicator 3.2.1	Are there any networks/platforms of educators and/or leaders/administrators who are involved in ESD in your country?		
Yes No X	Phase II: Please specify (provide examples on how this is done).		
Sub-indicator 3.2.2	Are ESD networks/platforms supported by the government in any way? ²⁶		
Yes No No	Please specify how, listing the major ones, and describing them as appropriate.		
Issue 4. ENSURE THAT ADEQUATE TOOLS AND MATERIALS FOR ESD ARE ACCESSIBLE			
If necessary, provide i	relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective (up to 1,500 characters with spaces).		

²² For higher education institutions: this covers the issue of university "outreach" (meaning a wide spectrum from regional integration, business cooperation and transdisciplinarity to eco-procurement and research-education-cooperation).

23 ESD is addressed by content and/or by methodology.

24 For higher education institutions: The focus is here on existing teacher training at universities/colleges regarding SD and ESD for university/college teachers.

25 For higher education institutions: The focus is here on existing in-service training programmes regarding SD and ESD for university/college teachers in their own universities/colleges.

26 Including assistance through direct funding, in-kind help, political and institutional support.

	Indicator 4.1 Teaching tools and materials for ESD are produced
Sub-indicator 4.1.1	Does a national strategy/mechanism for encouragement of development and production of ESD tools and materials exist?
Yes No X	Please describe.
Sub-indicator 4.1.2	Is public (national, subnational, local) authority money invested in this activity?
Yes No X	Phase II: Please specify to what extent public money is invested in this activity, by providing an indication of the amount (in USD) for annual expenditures on ESD-related research and development.
	Indicator 4.2 Quality control mechanisms for teaching tools and materials for ESD exist
Sub-indicator 4.2.1	Do you have quality criteria and/or quality guidelines for ESD-related teaching tools and materials that are: (a) supported by public authorities? (b) approved by public authorities? (c) tested and recommended for selection by educational institutions?
(a) Yes \(\square\) No \(\square\)	Phase I: For (a) and (b), please describe.
(b) Yes No No Construction No	Phase II: Please specify for (a), (b) and (c) for formal, informal and non-formal education. For (c), please also describe. Please also provide your own examples, as appropriate.
Sub-indicator 4.2.2	Are ESD teaching tools/materials available: (a) in national languages? (b) for all levels of education according to ISCED?
(a) Yes No (b) Yes No No	Phase II: If yes for (b), please specify by ticking (V) in the table as appropriate. ISCED levels 1 2 3 4 5 6 Teacher education
	Indicator 4.3 Teaching tools and materials for ESD are accessible
Sub-indicator 4.3.1	Does a national strategy/mechanism for dissemination of ESD tools and materials exist?
Yes No	Phase II: Please describe.
Sub-indicator 4.3.2	Is public authority money invested in this activity?

190e	ECE/
<u>コ</u>	CEF/A
	AC.13/2005
	7009/

Yes No No	Please specify to what extent by providing an indication of the amount in USD, giving the annual expenditures for ESD-related research and development.									
Sub-indicator 4.3.3	Are approved ESD teaching materials available through the Internet?									
Yes No No	se describe.									
Sub-indicator 4.3.4	Is a register or database of ESD teaching tools and materials in the national language(s): (a) accessible through the Internet? (b) provided through other channels?									
(a) Yes No No (b) Yes No No	For (a) and (b) please specify.									
	Issue 5. PROMOTE RESEARCH ON AND DEVELOPMENT OF ESD									
If necessary, provide r	relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective (up to 1,500 characters with spaces).									
	Indicator 5.1 Research ²⁷ on ESD is promoted									
Sub-indicator 5.1.1	Is research that addresses content and methods for ESD ²⁸ supported?									
Yes No X	Phase II: Please specify what kind of research (content and methods). Please provide the total amount annually over the reporting period, and if feasible, as a percentage of the total research budget.									
Sub-indicator 5.1.2	Does any research evaluate the outcome of the implementation of the UNECE Strategy for ESD?									
Yes No X	Please specify what kind of research (content and methods).									
Sub-indicator 5.1.3	Are post-graduate programmes available: (1) on ESD: ²⁹ (a) for the master's level? (b) for the doctorate level? (2) addressing ESD: (a) for the master's level? (b) for the doctorate level?									
(1) (a) Yes No X (b) Yes No X (2) (a) Yes No X (b) Yes No X	Phase II: Please specify what kind of programmes are available for (1) (a) and (b); (2) (a) and (b).									
Sub-indicator 5.1.4	Are there any scholarships supported by public authorities for post-graduate research in ESD: (a) for the master's level; (b) for the doctorate level?									
(a) Yes No X (b) Yes No X	Phase II: Please specify for (a) and (b).									

²⁷ These include support from various sources, such as State, local authorities, business and non-governmental sources.

²⁸ E.g. concepts; formation of attitudes and values; development of competencies, teaching and learning; school development; implementation of information communications technology; means of evaluation including socioeconomic impacts.

²⁹ ESD is addressed by substance and/or by approach.

	Indicator 5.2 Development of ESD is promoted										
Sub-indicator 5.2.1	Is there any support for innovation and capacity-building in ESD practice? ³⁰										
Yes No X	Yes No X Phase II: Please specify and provide the total amount annually over the reporting period.										
	Indicator 5.3 Dissemination of research results on ESD is promoted										
Sub-indicator 5.3.1	Sub-indicator 5.3.1 Is there any public authority support for mechanisms ³¹ to share the results of research and examples of good practices in ESD ³² among authorities and stakeholders?										
Yes No X	Phase II: Please specify and provide the total amount annually over the reporting period.										
Sub-indicator 5.3.2	Are there any scientific publications: (a) specifically on ESD? (b) addressing ESD?										
(a) Yes No X	Phase I: For (a), if feasible, please provide the number on annual basis over the reporting period. Please list the major ones.										
(b) Yes No X	Phase II: For (b), if feasible, please provide the number on annual basis over the reporting period. Please list the major ones.										
	Issue 6. STRENGTHEN COOPERATION ON ESD AT ALL LEVELS WITHIN THE UNECE REGION										
If necessary, provide	relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific objective (up to 1,500 characters with spaces).										
	Indicator 6.1 International cooperation on ESD is strengthened within the UNECE region and beyond										
Sub-indicator 6.1.1	Do your public authorities cooperate in/support international ³³ networks on ESD?										
Yes No No	Phase II: Please specify for national, subnational and local levels and list major networks.										
Sub-indicator 6.1.2	Do educational institutions/organisations (formal and non-formal) in your country participate in international networks related to ESD?										
Yes No No	Please specify. List major networks.										
Sub-indicator 6.1.3	Are there any state, bilateral and/or multilateral cooperation mechanisms/agreements that include an explicit ESD component?										
Yes X No 🗌	Phase II: Please specify and list the major ones. Bilateral Agreements of collaboration on environment between Rep. of Molodva and Russia, Belarus, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, etc. contain provisions on collaboration in environmental education and public awareness on sustainable development.										
Sub-indicator 6.1.4	Does your Government take any steps to promote ESD in international forums outside the UNECE region?										
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Please list and describe.										

Activities may include pilot projects, action research, social learning and multi-stakeholder teams.
 E.g. conferences, summer schools, journals, periodicals, networks.
 E.g. the "participatory approach"; links to local, regional and global problems; an integrative approach to environmental, economic and social issues; an orientation to understanding, preventing and solving problems.
 In this context, international associations, working groups, programmes, partnerships, etc. means those at the global, regional and subregional levels.

Issue 7. FOSTER CONSERVATION, USE AND PROMOTION OF KNOWLEDGE OF INDIGENOUS PEOPLES, AS WELL AS LOCAL AND TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE, IN ESD

Provide relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific issue (up to 2,000 characters with spaces). Please be as specific as possible.

Phase II: Please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

Phase III: Please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

Issue 8. DESCRIBE ANY CHALLENGES AND OBSTACLES ENCOUNTERED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STRATEGY

Provide relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific issue (up to 1,500 characters with spaces). Please be as specific as possible.

The ESD Strategy implementation in the Republic of Moldova, as well as other important regional processes implementation, slowed-down as result of continued political instability in the country during 2009-2010 (3 parliamentary elections) and lake of successive prioritization of ESD at decision making level. The existing Action Plan on ESD implementation approved at ministerial level (MoEnvironment and MoEductaion) in 2008 needs to be updated and approved by the Governmental Decision, after wide public hearing process. Actually the efforts are being focused on creation of the national platform and mechanisms of collaboration with all interested stakeholders.

Phase II: Please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

Phase III: Please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

Issue 9. DESCRIBE ANY ASSISTANCE NEEDED TO IMPROVE IMPLEMENTATION

Provide relevant information on your country situation regarding this specific issue (up to 1,500 characters with spaces). Please be as specific as possible.

To foster the ESD implementation in the Rep. of Moldova the active involvement of MoEducation is needed. In this regard the direct communication between the UNECE ESD Secretariat and the Ministry of Education needs to be established along with MoEnvironment (e.g. appointment of the focal point by the MoEducation, copy messages, official letters, report requests, invitation to steering committees, etc.). The lake of financial sources is another factor impeding the ESD implementation. The RoM will appreciate any assistance (financial, methodological, etc.) that will foster the national process of ESD implementation.

Phase II: Please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

Phase III: Please provide the updated information to indicate changes over time.

Appendix I (a)

Indicator 2.1, sub-indicator 2.1.1

Please specify which key themes of SD are addressed explicitly in the curriculum/programme of study at various levels of formal education by filling in the table below. (Please tick (V) relevant themes for each level. Use the blank rows to insert additional themes that are considered to be key themes in addressing learning for SD.)

Some key themes covered by sustainable development		IS	SCEI	CED Levels		
Some key tnemes covered by sustainable development	0	1	2	3	4	5
Peace studies (e.g. international relations, security and conflict resolution, partnerships)						
Ethics and philosophy						
Citizenship, democracy and governance					V	V
Human rights (e.g. gender and racial and inter-generational equity)				V	V	V
Poverty alleviation				V		
Cultural diversity			V	V		
Biological and landscape diversity	V	V				V
Environmental protection (waste management, etc.)	V	V				
Ecological principles/ecosystem approach						
Natural resource management (e.g. water, soil, mineral, fossil fuels)						
Climate change						
Personal and family health (e.g. HIV/AIDS, drug abuse)				V	V	V
Environmental health (e.g. food and drinking; water quality; pollution)						
Corporate social responsibility						
Production and/or consumption patterns						
Economics				V	V	V
Rural/urban development						
Total						
Other (countries to add as many as needed)						
						1

NB: Your response will reflect the variety of ESD themes distributed across the ISCED levels. The distribution is more important than the raw number of ticks. The number of ticks may be used for your own monitoring purposes.

The scoring key for this table (max. 102 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

No. of ticks	0–5	6–10	11–25	26-50	51–75	76–100
Scale	A	В	C	D	Е	F

Could you specify which specific themes are of critical important in your countries and why? Please specify for different ISCED levels, as appropriate.

Appendix I (b)

Indicator 2.1, sub-indicator 2.1.2

Please specify the extent to which the following broad areas of competence that support ESD are addressed explicitly in the curriculum³⁴/programme of study at various levels of formal education, by filling in the table below.

(Please tick (V) relevant expected learning outcomes for each level. Use the blank rows to insert additional learning outcomes (skills, attitudes and values) that are considered to be key outcomes in your country in learning for SD.)

Table of learning outcomes

Competence					evels	ş	
	Expected outcomes	0	1	2	3	4	5
	- posing analytical questions/critical thinking?				V	V	V
Learning to learn Does education at each level enhance learners' capacity for:	- understanding complexity/systemic thinking?	V	V	V	V	V	V
	- overcoming obstacles/problem-solving?						V
	- managing change/problem-setting?						
	- creative thinking/future-oriented thinking?		V	V	V	V	V
	- understanding interrelationships across disciplines/holistic approach?						V
	Total						16
	- other (countries to add as many as needed)?						
	-						
	Expected outcomes	0	1	2	3	4	5
	- applying learning in a variety of life-wide contexts?						
	- decision-making, including in situations of uncertainty?						
I coming to do	- dealing with crises and risks?						
	- acting responsibly?	V	V	V	V	V	V
Learning to do Does education at each level enhance earners' capacity for:	- acting with self-respect ?						
rearriers capacity for.	- acting with determination?		V	V	V	V	V
	Total						11
	- other (countries to add as many as needed)?						
	-						
Learning to be	Expected outcomes	0	1	2	3	4	5
Does education at each level enhance	- self-confidence?		V	V	V		V
learners' capacity for:	- self-expression and communication?	V	V	V	V	V	V
- coping under stress?							
	- ability to identify and clarify values (for phase III)?						V
	Total						10

³⁴ At the State level, where relevant.

	- other (countries to add as many as needed)?	
	-	
	Expected outcomes	0 1 2 3 4 5
	- acting with responsibility (locally and globally)?	V V V V V
	- acting with respect for others?	V V V V V
I	- identifying stakeholders and their interests?	VVV
Learning to live and work together Does education at each level enhance	- collaboration/team working?	V V V V V
learners' capacity for:	- participation in democratic decision-making?	VVV
learners capacity for.	- negotiation and consensus-building?	V V V
	- distributing responsibilities (subsidiarity)?	V V V
	Total	32
	- other (countries to add as many as needed)?	
	-	

NB: Your response will reflect the variety of ESD learning outcomes distributed across the ISCED levels. The distribution is more important than the raw number of ticks. The number of ticks may be used for your own monitoring purposes.

The scoring key for this table (max. 138 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

-	No. of ticks	0–7	8–14	15–35	36–70	71–104	105-138	Ī
- 1	Scale	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	1

Appendix I (c)

Indicator 2.1, sub-indicator 2.1.3

Please indicate the teaching/learning methods used for ESD at the different ISCED levels.

(Please tick (V) relevant teaching/learning methods for each level. Use the blank rows to insert additional teaching/learning methods that are considered to be key methods in your country in teaching-learning for sustainable development.)

Table of teaching-learning methods

Come have ECD to calling/learning motheds managed by the Ctuateer 35	ISCED Levels									
Some key ESD teaching/learning methods proposed by the Strategy ³⁵	0	1	2	3	4	5				
Discussions	V	V	V	V	V	V				
Conceptual and perceptual mapping										
Philosophical inquiry										
Value clarification				V	V	V				
Simulations; role playing; games	V	V	V	V	V	V				
Scenarios; modeling										
Information and communication technology (ICT)						V				
Surveys						V				
Case studies				V	V	V				
Excursions and outdoor learning	V	V	V							
Learner-driven projects										
Good practice analyses						V				
Workplace experience										
Problem-solving						V				
Total						22				
Other (countries to add as many as needed)										

NB: Your response will reflect the variety of ESD teaching/learning methods distributed across the ISCED levels. The distribution is more important than the raw number of ticks. The number of ticks may be used for your own monitoring purposes.

The scoring key for this table (max. 84 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

No. o	of ticks	0–5	6–28	29–35	36–50	51–65	66–84
Sc	cale	A	В	C	D	Е	F

-

 $^{^{\}rm 35}$ Please refer to paragraph 33 (e) of the UNECE Strategy for ESD.

Appendix II

Indicator 2.6, sub-indicator 2.6.1

Please specify to what extent is ESD implementation a multi-stakeholder process by filling in the table below. Please provide examples of good practice. (Please tick (V) in both (a) and (b) template-tables to indicate what types of education stakeholders are involved.)

Table (a) According to the UNECE Strategy for ESD

Stakeholders	Classification by UNECE Strategy for ESD							
Starcholders	Formal	Non-formal	Informal					
NGOs								
Local government								
Organized labour								
Private sector								
Community-based								
Faith-based								
Media			V					
Total			1					
Other (countries to add as many as needed)								

The scoring key for this table (max. 21 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

No. of ticks	0–1	2	3–5	6–10	11–15	16–21
Scale	A	В	С	D	Е	F

Table (b) According to United Nations Decade of ESD

	Classification by United Nations Decade of ESD								
Stakeholders	Public awareness	Quality education	Reorienting education	Training	Social learning				
NGOs									
Local government									
Organized labour									
Private sector									
Community-based									
Faith-based									
Media	V								
Total	1								
Other (countries to add as many as needed)									

The scoring key for this table (max. 35 ticks; "other" not counted) is:

No. of ticks	0–5	6–11	12–17	18–23	24–29	30–35
Scale	A	В	С	D	Е	F

Appendix III

Indicator 3.1, sub-indicator 3.1.3

Please specify to what extent is ESD a part of the initial and/or in-service educator's training, by filling in the table below by ticking (V) as appropriate.

		Percentage of education professionals who have received training ³⁶ to integrate ESD into their practice: (see key below)																
ISCED levels	Educators									Leaders/administrators37								
	Initial*										In service***							
	Α	В	C	D	Е	F	A	В	C	D						D		F
0																		,
1																		
2																		
3																		
4																		
5																		
6																:		
Non-formal																		
Informal												:		:		:		

The scoring key for this table (max. 100%) is:

Percentage of educated trainers	0–5	6–10	11–25	26–50	51–75	76–100
Scale	Α	В	С	D	Е	F

- * Please indicate the number of educators who have received initial training on ESD as a percentage of the total number of educators by the reporting date.
- ** Please indicate the number of educators who have received training on ESD as a percentage of the total number of educators who received in-service teacher training by the reporting date.
- *** Please indicate the number of leaders/administrators who have received training on ESD as a percentage of total number of leaders/administrators who received in-service teacher training by the reporting date.

-

³⁶ Training is understood to include at least one day (a minimum of five contact hours).

³⁷ See paragraphs 54 and 55 of the UNECE Strategy for ESD.

Appendix IV

Summary and self-assessment³⁸ by countries:

1	Indicator 1.1	Prerequisite measures are taken to support the promotion of ESD	☐ Not started X In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
2	Indicator 1.2	Policy, regulatory and operational frameworks support the promotion of ESD	Not started X In progress Developing Completed
3	Indicator 1.3	National policies support synergies between processes related to SD and ESD	☐ Not started X In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
4	Indicator 2.1	SD key themes are addressed in formal education	☐ Not started ☐ In progress X Developing ☐ Completed
5	Indicator 2.2	Strategies to implement ESD are clearly identified	X Not started In progress Developing Completed
6	Indicator 2.3	A whole-institution approach to ESD/SD is promoted	X Not started In progress Developing Completed
7	Indicator 2.4	ESD is addressed by quality assessment / enhancement systems	X Not started In progress Developing Completed
8	Indicator 2.5	ESD methods and instruments for non-formal and informal learning are in place to assess changes in knowledge, attitude and practice	X Not started
9	Indicator 2.6	ESD implementation is a multi-stakeholder process	☐ Not started X In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
10	Indicator 3.1	ESD is included in the training of educators	X Not started In progress Developing Completed
11	Indicator 3.2	Opportunities exist for educators to cooperate on ESD	X Not started
12	Indicator 4.1	Teaching tools and materials for ESD are produced	X Not started
13	Indicator 4.2	Quality control mechanisms for teaching tools and materials for ESD exist	X Not started In progress Developing Completed
14	Indicator 4.3	Teaching tools and materials for ESD are accessible	☐ Not started X In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
15	Indicator 5.1	Research on ESD is promoted	X Not started In progress Developing Completed
16	Indicator 5.2	Development of ESD is promoted	☐ Not started X In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed
17	Indicator 5.3	Dissemination of research results on ESD is promoted	X Not started
18	Indicator 6.1	International cooperation on ESD is strengthened within the UNECE region and beyond	☐ Not started X In progress ☐ Developing ☐ Completed

³⁸ On the basis of the answers to the sub-indicators, please self-assess the status of the implementation of the respective indicator in your country. If feasible, please specify the methodology used for the self-assessment.